



## Training Committee Meeting Minutes

Time	Tuesday 13 <sup>th</sup> March 2018 at 1:30pm – 3:00pm
Attendees	Roslyn Law, Yvonne Hemmings, Jamie Barsky, Fiona Duffy, Philip McGarry, Marie Wardle
Apologies	Liz Robinson, Matthias Schwannauer, Julia Fox-Clinch, Paul Wilkinson, Jess Alton
Chair	Louise Deacon
Minutes	Yvonne Hemmings

### 1. Minutes from last meeting

These were approved.

### 2. Actions from last meeting

#### Outstanding actions:

Action: LD and RL to consider changes to the existing document regarding trainer training procedure

Action: MS to send JA the IPT Scotland database – FD to prompt MS about this

Action: All Committee members to review the new IAPT paperwork on the website (found [here](#)) in advance of the next meeting, and to ensure these are distributed to supervisors related to the course

Action: MS to issue trainer certificate; FD to follow up to see if this was done

Action: MS to contact member directly to follow up to ensure they have requested an extension (see previous minutes) – FD to follow up

### 3. Call for AOB

RL notified LD of another extension request coming our way.

### 4. Query from IPT level B trainee

A member has asked if the work they have been asked to do in service (6 sessions) will count towards their 2 cases a year required to maintain Level B accreditation. Members of TC discussed examples of practitioners who are being asked to provide IPT in 6 sessions in their services. RL made the point that there are two issues here: 1) do services realise they are not providing IPT within the evidence-base; 2) are we happy to count this work towards the accreditation requirement. We agreed to set up a working party to problem-solve this.

ACTION: Develop a working party to talk about an alternative accreditation standard for IPC, or alternative solution. RL, MW and FD. LD to email the individual to let them know that we are working on a response.

### 5. IPT trainees from overseas and IPT-UK membership

LD asked if people who have trained overseas are eligible to sign up to IPT UK. RL explained that she is providing training overseas, and some countries have their own version of IPT UK (e.g. Sweden) and some do not. RL warned against us setting ourselves up in competition with ISIPT. However, the group agreed that we would have an open door policy on this.

ACTION: LD to forward RL the details of the Swedish enquiry so RL can put them in touch with the Swedish IPT organisation.

## **6. Issue re Trainee**

Trainee was slow in getting their accreditation portfolio together due to a series of difficult life events. The individual and their supervisor then found that they had lost some of the paperwork. This is now 95% complete and is under review with their supervisors. There may be a request from this trainee regarding remaining missing case report.

## **7. Requests for extensions (2 received)**

First request – trainee had completed a number of cases but hadn't submitted live tapes. They will need to complete some new work to be able to make submissions. They have asked for nine months to complete this work. TC agreed a year.

ACTION: LD to contact trainee to let them know

Second request – issues with previous supervisor, subsequent delay.

ACTION: LD to write back to establish what evaluation has been done and where they are in collating their portfolio.

## **8. BPS accreditation – email received**

RL explained that conversations with the BPS revealed that they held an inaccurate view of what the IPT-A courses involved. At this point, we have been contacted to say that we would be eligible after all for accreditation with them. This is now back in the process of being reviewed again. The question isn't really about whether we are eligible, but rather whether this is work that the BPS wants to take on; we are told there are quite strong differences of opinion within the BPS about whether accrediting psychological therapies is something the BPS should be doing. RL expressed some concern about whether it would cover the full range of courses even if they did agree to accredit the IPT-A courses. There is also no timescale provided. LD talked about the BACP accreditation requirements, which she is exploring for her course. LD is feeling under pressure to make a decision to be able to recruit to Surrey's new course.

ACTION: RL to write to the BPS to ask about what would be required to consider accreditation for the range of courses (i.e. HEE provided courses). LD to write to the BACP to ask the same question. RL and LD to bring these responses to the meeting in May for a more substantive discussion. LD has asked RL for a summary of courses that would be covered.

## **9. IPT and eligibility for EMDR training**

RL explained that to train in EMDR you need to have prior training in another therapy. EMDR currently do not list IPT as an approved prior training. RL asked if this is something we want to argue for. JB suggested that we might go back and argue for IPT to be listed.

ACTION: LD to contact EMDR Europe to make this case. RL will support with editing this letter.

## **10. Individual Member Complaint**

RL explained that a prior complaint has been raised about a previous individual member for practising as an accredited practitioner without being accredited. The TC discussed this case.

ACTION: YH to send an email to supervisors to remind them to ask for certificates before taking them on for supervision – either showing completion of course if they are trainees, or accreditation with IPT UK if they are practitioners.

## **11. New/upcoming trainers (3)**

JB has nearly completed H's training as has LD. Both are happy that this is good enough. MW can verbally feedback to H and formal feedback will follow shortly.

ACTION: LD and JB to send feedback to H

R – MW advised this is a pass and feedback is on its way.

D – LD to chase MS about this.

**12. Trainer competencies form – sole trainers**

LD still to tweak the sole trainer's form to make it commensurate with the new co-trainer's form. MW and LD said they are concerned that there are things on the form that can't be judged by the external rater. ACTION: LD to update this form so there is a section that can be completed by the internal trainer rather than the external raters. LD explained that she thinks there are co-trainer, 2) sole trainer taking over an existing course, 3) independent sole trainer.

ACTION: MW and LD to look at the levels and get these written down more clearly. RL to edit.

**13. Review of supervisor courses**

To carry over.

**14. Date of next meeting.** Friday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2018.

**15. AOB**

None.